

OFFICIAL NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde Equality Impact Assessment Tool

Equality Impact Assessment is a legal requirement as set out in the Equality Act (2010) and the Equality Act 2010 (Specific Duties)(Scotland) regulations 2012 and may be used as evidence for cases referred for further investigation for compliance issues. Evidence returned should also align to Specific Outcomes as stated in your local Equality Outcomes Report. Please note that prior to starting an EQIA all Lead Reviewers are required to attend a Lead Reviewer training session or arrange to meet with a member of the Equality and Human Rights Team to discuss the process. Please contact Equality@ggc.scot.nhs.uk for further details or call 0141 2014560.

| Name of Policy/Service Review/Service Development/Service Redesign/New Service: |
|---|
| Withdrawal from Care and Repair Service |
| Is this a: Current Service Service Development Service Redesign New Service New Policy Policy Review |
| |
| Description of the service & rationale for selection for EQIA: (Please state if this is part of a Board-wide service or is locally driven). |
| This EQIA aligns with the IJB Financial Allocations and Budgets 2025-26 paper, being presented to IJB members in March 2025. |
| Glasgow Care & Repair is jointly funded by Glasgow City Health and Social Care Partnership and Glasgow City Council as part of a two year funding agreement and currently delivered by Southside Housing Association. This funding is in place until 31st March 2025. The service has been running for longer than two years but this particular funding arrangement (£182,500 per annum) was only agreed for the past two years following a service review in 2021, up until that point our (HSCP) contribution was (£38,218) per annum. This is a legacy arrangement as there is currently no formal contract in place. |
| There are 3 main areas of service, offering practical assistance, advice and information to older and/or disabled people who are home owner or private tenants living in Glasgow helping them to live more comfortably and safely in their own homes, for longer: |
| Handyperson - practical minor tasks such as installing key safes, doorbells and smoke detectors; changing light bulbs and toilet seats; fitting shelves, bannisters, grab and handrails; hanging curtains; fitting thresholds and securing loose flooring and cables; and preparing the home for medical equipment or major works. |
| Home and Hospital - a specialised version of the Handyperson Service for older and disabled people due for discharge from hospital ensuring they are returning to a safe and secure home. |
| Advice & Information – advice and support for older and disabled people to enable them to carry out repairs and improvements to their home such as advice on the nature of repair work and improvements required; assistance on agreeing terms and monitoring quality of work; and signposting and referrals to other agencies. |

Performance Report 1.04.23 - 31.03.24

| 1.0 Service Uptake | Quarter 1/2 | Quarter 3/4 | Total |
|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------|
| Total number of | 292 | 302 | 594 |
| Referrals | | | |
| Total Number of Service | 255 | 250 | 505 |
| Users | | | |
| Number of Tasks | 518 | 514 | 1032 |
| Completed | | | |
| Number of Home visits | 275 | 272 | 547 |
| undertaken | | | |
| Referral for Further | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Assistance | | | |

This proposal includes withdrawal from current arrangement and absorb majority of elements in-house.

Key safe installation

The majority of the funding is to deliver key safe installation. Over 80% of Home & Hospital tasks are comprised of key safe installations which could be brought in-house to deliver this element. Telecare fits key safes as part of their Telecare alarm system installation and moving key safe installation into this service area to support hospital discharge. £32,500 per annum of the budget will be retained to sustain key safe installation to support Hospital Discharge. Further discussion will take place on provision of the remainder of the handyperson service, as per below.

Based on the number of referrals received in 23/24, it is proposed that an addition of 1 FTE Telecare Technician Grade 3 post would be able to meet this demand. This is based on 4 key safe installations per day (approx. 80 per month). There would also be administrative, travel and materials costs. Key safes are currently chargeable and would continue to be chargeable when brought in house, although there is a possibility of increased costs to the service user. The Social Work Charging Policy will be reviewed and updated as required to reflect this. This Policy and associated EgIA are reviewed on an annual basis.

Handyperson tasks

There are related handyperson tasks required to make a person's home safe and secure, making up less than 20% of the current service (less than 200 tasks per year). This includes installing bannisters and handrails, rearranging furniture to accommodate medical equipment, improving accessibility of kitchen and bathroom facilities, and securing loose cables and flooring. These could potentially be absorbed into the main handyperson service. This is based on current demand. The handyperson service is not a statutory service. There has been initial discussions with the Council, who co-fund the service and Southside Housing Association. Glasgow City Council have confirmed that they will continue with their share of the funding, it is acknowledged that further discussions and reconfiguration of the service are required to identify how this will be delivered going forward and any potential reduction. Given the stage of this programme of work, this EQIA can only provide a general overview. The eqia will be monitored and updated in line with the 6 monthly review process. As part of the decommissioning element, discussions will be ongoing to consider any further opportunities for mitigating action going forward.

Advice and Information Service

The Advice and Information service will be absorbed into the Maximising Independence Hubs model (Make it Local Information and Advice Service) at no or minimal extra cost.

HR Implications

At this stage, it is not known if there is a potential TUPE implication for staff currently employed for the company providing the current repairs and servicing. This will be updated following discussions with Glasgow City Council and Southside Housing Association. This will be followed by discussions with Procurement, Legal and HR in preparation for communicating with the incumbent provider in terms of TUPE.

Given the stage of this programme of work, this EQIA can only provide a general overview. The eqia will be monitored and updated in line with the 6 monthly review process.

Who is the lead reviewer and when did they attend Lead reviewer Training? (Please note the lead reviewer must be someone in a position to authorise any actions identified as a result of the EQIA)

| Name: | Date of Lead Reviewer Training: |
|---------------|---------------------------------|
| Brian Lithgow | |
| Liam Herbert | |

Please list the staff involved in carrying out this EQIA

(Where non-NHS staff are involved e.g. third sector reps or patients, please record their organisation or reason for inclusion):

| | | Example | Service Evidence Provided | Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required |
|----|--|--|---|--|
| 1. | What equalities information is routinely collected from people currently using the service or affected by the policy? If this is a new service proposal what data do you have on proposed service user groups. Please note any barriers to collecting this data in your submitted evidence and an explanation for any protected characteristic data omitted. | A sexual health service collects service user data covering all 9 protected characteristics to enable them to monitor patterns of use. | AgeDisability | The Social Work Care First 6 system as a matter of course collects: • age • disability • pregnancy and maternity • race • religion or belief • sex This will be collected for the services moving in house going forward. |
| | | Example | Service Evidence Provided | Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required |
| 2. | Please provide details of how data captured has been/will be used to inform policy content or service design. Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the | A physical activity programme for people with long term conditions reviewed service user data and found very low uptake by BME (Black and Minority Ethnic) | Information collected is used to assess demand/capacity for the service going forward. In additional to equality data the following areas are captured and reported; | |

| | 1 | 1 | | T |
|----|--|---|---|--|
| | General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes). 1) Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation | people. Engagement activity found promotional material for the interventions was not representative. As a result an adapted range of materials were | Area of the City Tenure Work undertaken The equalities and additional data collected was used to inform the review of the service, including an options | |
| | 2) Promote equality of opportunity | introduced with ongoing monitoring of uptake. (Due regard promoting | appraisal, to explore options for taking this forward that meet best value. This proposal was seen as the option which | |
| | 3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics. | equality of opportunity) | best delivered on best value, while protecting hospital discharge. | |
| | 4) Not applicable | | | |
| | | Example | Service Evidence Provided | Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required |
| 3. | How have you applied | Looked after and | A review of the service was undertaken, | |
| | learning from research | accommodated care | including an options appraisal, to explore | |
| | evidence about the | services reviewed a | options for taking this forward that meet | |
| | experience of equality | range of research | best value. This followed the full service | |
| | groups to the service or | evidence to help promote | review that was undertaken in 2021 and | |
| | Policy? | a more inclusive care | the 6 monthly monitoring reports that | |
| | _ | environment. Research | have been scrutinised to evidence | |
| | Your evidence should show | suggested that young | demand and areas of greatest need. | |
| | which of the 3 parts of the | LGBT+ people had a | _ | |
| | General Duty have been | disproportionately | Alternative options have been explored. | |
| | considered (tick relevant | difficult time through | Having sought legal advice, the HSCP | |
| | boxes). | exposure to bullying and | are unable to adopt the same grant | |
| | | harassment. As a result | approach as NRS as it is a service being | |
| | 1) Remove discrimination, | staff were trained in | purchased, therefore did not meet the | |
| | harassment and | LGBT+ issues and were | criteria for a Grant. Further Direct Award | |
| 1 | victimisation | more confident in asking | harmiasiana sauld ha saught hawayar in | |
| | Violinisation | related questions to | permissions could be sought however in considering procurement regulations in | |

| | | | OFFICIAL | |
|----|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | 2) Promote equality of | young people. | respect of aggregate value it is the view | |
| | opportunity | (Due regard to removing | of the HSCP that this route has been | |
| | | discrimination, | exhausted. | |
| | 3) Foster good relations | harassment and | | |
| | between protected | victimisation and | Referrals during quarter 1 and 2 2024/25 | |
| | characteristics | fostering good relations). | | |
| | | | Total number of Referrals - 292 | |
| | 4) Not applicable | | Total Number of Service Users - 255 | |
| | | | Number of Tasks Completed - 518 | |
| | | | Number of Home visits undertaken - 275 | |
| | | | Referral for Further Assistance - 3 | |
| | | Example | Service Evidence Provided | Possible negative impact and |
| | | | | Additional Mitigating Action Required |
| 4. | Can you give details of how | A money advice service | Engagement with service users to identify | As part of the decommissioning element, |
| | you have engaged with | spoke to lone parents | the potential impact from the proposal | discussions will be ongoing to consider |
| | equality groups with regard | (predominantly women) | has been challenging as, they are mainly | any further opportunities for mitigating |
| | to the service review or | to better understand | one off. However feedback on the service | action going forward. |
| | policy development? What | barriers to accessing the | is collected as part of business as usual | |
| | did this engagement tell you | service. Feedback | and reported in the contract management | |
| | about user experience and | included concerns about | reporting. 40 feedback forms were | |
| | how was this information | waiting times at the drop | received in quarters 1 and 2 2024/25. The | |
| | used? The Patient | in service, made more | feedback from people who have used the | |
| | Experience and Public | difficult due to child care | service was generally very positive. | |
| | Involvement team (PEPI) | issues. As a result the | | |
| | support NHSGGC to listen | service introduced a | Feedback is sought on the following | |
| | and understand what | home visit and telephone | questions with people who have used the | |
| | matters to people and can | service which | service nearly all agreeing or strongly | |
| | offer support. | significantly increased | agreeing: | |
| | | uptake. | | |
| | Your evidence should show | | Was your enquiry to the Home & | |
| | which of the 3 parts of the | (Due regard to promoting | Hospital Service dealt with promptly? | |
| | General Duty have been | equality of opportunity) | Were you happy with the work | |
| | considered (tick relevant | **** | undertaken by the Home & Hospital | |
| | boxes). | * The Child Poverty | Handyperson? | |
| | 4) Domesse die suiusius tis s | (Scotland) Act 2017 | How helpful was the Home & Hospital | |
| | 1) Remove discrimination, | | | |

| | harassment and victimisation 2) Promote equality of opportunity 3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics | requires organisations to take actions to reduce poverty for children in households at risk of low incomes. | Handyperson? • Would you recommend the Home & Hospital Service to a friend or neighbour? The Advisory Group meets every quarter. This groups consists of; Scottish Housing | |
|----|--|--|--|--|
| | 4) Not applicable | | Association Federation, Glasgow City Council, Southside Housing Association, Care and Repair Scotland and HSCP Commissioning. There has been initial discussions with | |
| | | | the Council, who co-fund the service and Southside Housing Association. Glasgow City Council have confirmed that they will continue with their share of the funding, it is acknowledged that further discussions and reconfiguration of the service are required to identify how this will be delivered going forward and any potential reduction. | |
| | | Example | Service Evidence Provided | Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required |
| 5. | Is your service physically accessible to everyone? If this is a policy that impacts on movement of service users through areas are there potential barriers that need to be addressed? | An access audit of an outpatient physiotherapy department found that users were required to negotiate 2 sets of heavy manual pull doors to access the service. A request was placed to | Service took place in service users own home, with an aim of supporting service users ability to live as independently as possible. | Service continue to be delivered in service users own home. |

| which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes). | have the doors retained by magnets that could deactivate in the event of a fire. (Due regard to remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation). | OFFICIAL | |
|---|--|--|--|
| 6. How will the service change or policy development ensure it does not discriminate in the way it communicates with service users and staff? Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes). 1) Remove discrimination, harassment and | Following a service review, an information video to explain new procedures was hosted on the organisation's YouTube site. This was accompanied by a BSL signer to explain service changes to Deaf service users. Written materials were offered in other languages and formats. | Service Evidence Provided Current communication on the service is via a referral of options, including; Leaflet mailing/delivery city wide Service advertised via: leaflets; GCC Website; SHA Website; Your Support Your Way Website; HSCP leaflet 'Do you want to move or stay" and also within the Care & Repair Scotland Website Referrals can be made via phone, letter, email and online referral form (online referral form link available via Southside HA Website, GCC Website | Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required Communication will continue to be undertaken in a variety of formats to support accessibility, in line with the GCHSCP participation and engagement strategy. A communication plan will developed to ensure that all referrers are aware that the key safe service has been brought in house and how to continue to refer. Service users do not self-refer for key safe, so change of moving in house is unlikely to be a change that requires communication. |

| | 2) Promote equality of opportunity 3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics 4) Not applicable The British Sign Language (Scotland) Act 2017 aims to raise awareness of British Sign Language and improve access to services for those using the language. Specific attention should be paid in your evidence to show how the service review or policy has taken note of this. | (Due regard to remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation and promote equality of opportunity). | and link attached to all C&R staff emails Referrals can be taken from anyone (with the exception of clients at home at risk of hospital admission – these require a referral made by HSCP staff Home visits are available as well as assistance/advice by phone and email Translation Services may be accessed by staff where required | Access to interpreters, translations and alternative formats will be continue to be available for the key safe programme, on request, in line with business as usual. Any changes to the handyperson service is currently unknown and will be subject to discussion with Glasgow City Council and Southside Housing Association. If there is likely to be any change or reduction in service, this would be discussed at point of contact with service users, as repeat access is not common. A communications plan would be required for referral partners. |
|---|---|--|---|---|
| 7 | Protected Characteristic | | Service Evidence Provided | Possible negative impact and Additional Mitigating Action Required |

| (a) | Age | | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Could the service design or policy content have a disproportionate impact on people due to differences in age? (Consider any age cut-offs that exist in the service design or policy content. You will need to objectively justify in the evidence section any segregation on the grounds of age promoted by the policy or included in the service design). | | | | |
| | Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes). | | | | |
| | Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation | | | | |
| | 2) Promote equality of opportunity | | | | |
| | 3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics. | | | | |
| | 4) Not applicable | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

Age of service users during quarter 1 and 2 2024/25

| Under 65 (exceptional | |
|-----------------------|-----|
| cases / severe | 38 |
| disabilities) | |
| 65-69 | 24 |
| 70-79 | 82 |
| 80-89 | 122 |
| 90+ | 28 |
| | |

Due to the nature of the service being delivered, this proposal is more likely to have an impact on Older People.

The primary users of this service are older people living in their own homes or in tenancies around the City. Whilst this is a non-statutory service it would likely displace the cost of the work onto these older people.

Although this proposal includes a significant reduction in the funding provided. It is noted that over 80% of Home & Hospital tasks are comprised of key safe installations which could be brought in-house, which will continue to support hospital discharge.

There is a potential for impact on the wider handyperson service as reduced funding may impact on the sustainability of the wider service, but is not known at this stage. As this service is match funded by Glasgow City Council, further discussions will be required to understand the full impact. However, it is anticipated that as the majority of the service will continue to be provided directly by the HSCP then a reduced fund for the remainder of the service would be minimal. This will be reviewed following engagement and assessment updated, as required. There is commitment to exploring alternative options of the handyperson provision, if there are concerns about it being retained.

Key safes are currently chargeable and would continue to be chargeable when brought in house, although there is a possibility of increased costs to the service user. The Social Work Charging Policy will be reviewed and updated as required to reflect this. This Policy and associated EqIA are reviewed on an annual basis.

Mitigations will be in place to reduce the impact of this change;

 People will be signposted to alternative third sector independent living

| (b) | Disability | Due to the nature of the service being | organisations. Preventative guidance and materials will be added to our website. The majority of tasks completed by the service are keysafe installations. Part of the funding is being retained to continue this provision which enables Hospital discharge. But will incur a charge for service users, as outlined above. |
|-----|---|--|---|
| | Could the service design or policy content have a disproportionate impact on people due to the protected characteristic of disability? Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes). 1) Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation 2) Promote equality of opportunity 3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics. | delivered, this proposal is more likely to have an impact on Disabled People. There is a direct correlation between disability and low income or reliance on state benefits. Accordingly there is a higher proportion of people with a disability living in areas of deprivation. | |
| | Protected Characteristic | Service Evidence Provided | |
| (c) | Gender Reassignment | No impacts identified at this stage. | As above |
| | Could the service change or policy have a disproportionate impact on people with the protected characteristic of Gender Reassignment? | | |

| | Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes). 1) Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation | | |
|-----|---|--------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2) Promote equality of opportunity | | |
| | 3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics | | |
| | 4) Not applicable | | |
| | Protected Characteristic | Service Evidence Provided | |
| (d) | Marriage and Civil Partnership | No impacts identified at this stage. | As above |
| | Could the service change or policy have a disproportionate impact on the people with the protected characteristics of Marriage and Civil Partnership? Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the Conoral Duty have been considered (tick relevant | | |
| | General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes). | | |
| | Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation | | |
| | 2) Promote equality of opportunity | | |
| | 3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics | | |
| | 4) Not applicable | | |

| (e) | Pregnancy and Maternity | No impacts identified at this stage. | As above |
|-----|--|--------------------------------------|----------|
| | Could the service change or policy have a disproportionate impact on the people with the protected characteristics of Pregnancy and Maternity? | | |
| | Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes). | | |
| | 1) Remove discrimination, harassmentl victimisation | | |
| | 2) Promote equality of opportunity | | |
| | 3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics. | | |
| | 4) Not applicable | | |
| | Protected Characteristic | Service Evidence Provided | |
| (f) | Race | No impacts identified at this stage. | As above |
| | Could the service change or policy have a disproportionate impact on people with the protected characteristics of Race? | | |
| | Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes). | | |
| | 1) Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation | | |
| | 2) Promote equality of opportunity | | |

| | 3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics | | |
|-----|---|--|----------|
| | 4) Not applicable | | |
| (g) | Religion and Belief | No impacts identified at this stage. | As above |
| | Could the service change or policy have a disproportionate impact on the people with the protected characteristic of Religion and Belief? Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant | | |
| | boxes). | | |
| | Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation | | |
| | 2) Promote equality of opportunity | | |
| | 3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics. | | |
| | 4) Not applicable | | |
| | Protected Characteristic | Service Evidence Provided | |
| (h) | Sex | A sex profile of service users is not available. No impacts identified at this | As above |
| | Could the service change or policy have a | stage. | |
| | disproportionate impact on the people with the | | |
| | protected characteristic of Sex? | It is recognised that some service users | |
| | Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the | may have been victims of gender based violence and as a result have concerns | |
| | General Duty have been considered (tick relevant | with someone of the opposite sex | |
| | boxes). | entering the home. | |
| | , | Similar HSCP services take steps to | |

| | 1) Remove discrimination, harassment and | highlight and accommodate any requests | |
|-----|---|--|----------|
| | victimisation | for a female to be present. This will be | |
| | | explored as part of the process of | |
| | 2) Promote equality of opportunity | bringing the key safe service in house. | |
| | Solution Solution | | |
| | 4) Not applicable | | |
| | | | |
| (i) | Sexual Orientation | No impacts identified at this stage. | As above |
| | Could the service change or policy have a disproportionate impact on the people with the protected characteristic of Sexual Orientation? | | |
| | Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes). | | |
| | Remove discrimination, harassment and victimisation | | |
| | 2) Promote equality of opportunity | | |
| | 3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics. | | |
| | 4) Not applicable | | |
| | Protected Characteristic | Service Evidence Provided | |

(i) | Socio – Economic Status & Social Class

Could the proposed service change or policy have a disproportionate impact on people because of their social class or experience of poverty and what mitigating action have you taken/planned?

The Fairer Scotland Duty (2018) places a duty on public bodies in Scotland to actively consider how they can reduce inequalities of outcome caused by socioeconomic disadvantage when making <u>strategic</u> decisions. If relevant, you should evidence here what steps have been taken to assess and mitigate risk of exacerbating inequality on the ground of socioeconomic status. Additional information available here: <u>Fairer Scotland Duty: guidance for public bodies</u> - gov.scot (www.gov.scot)

Seven useful questions to consider when seeking to demonstrate 'due regard' in relation to the Duty:

- 1. What evidence has been considered in preparing for the decision, and are there any gaps in the evidence?
- 2. What are the voices of people and communities telling us, and how has this been determined (particularly those with lived experience of socioeconomic disadvantage)?
- 3. What does the evidence suggest about the actual or likely impacts of different options or measures on inequalities of outcome that are associated with socioeconomic disadvantage?
- 4. Are some communities of interest or communities of place more affected by disadvantage in this case than others?
- 5. What does our Duty assessment tell us about socio-

Key safes are currently chargeable and would continue to be chargeable when brought in house, although there is a possibility of increased costs to the service user. The Social Work Charging Policy will be reviewed and updated as required to reflect this. This Policy and associated EqIA are reviewed on an annual basis.

This proposal may have a greater impact on people on lower incomes who are unable to supplement their support by other financial means if they wished to do so. Services users may self-exclude. As above

| | | OFFICIAL | |
|-----|--|--|----------|
| (k) | economic disadvantage experienced disproportionately according to sex, race, disability and other protected characteristics that we may need to factor into our decisions? 6. How has the evidence been weighed up in reaching our final decision? 7. What plans are in place to monitor or evaluate the impact of the proposals on inequalities of outcome that are associated with socio-economic disadvantage? 'Making Fair Financial Decisions' (EHRC, 2019)21 provides useful information about the 'Brown Principles' which can be used to determine whether due regard has been given. When engaging with communities the National Standards for Community Engagement22 should be followed. Those engaged with should also be advised subsequently on how their contributions were factored into the final decision. Other marginalised groups How have you considered the specific impact on other groups including homeless people, prisoners and exoffenders, ex-service personnel, people with addictions, people involved in prostitution, asylum seekers & refugees and travellers? | No direct impacts identified at this stage. | As above |
| 8. | Does the service change or policy development include an element of cost savings? How have you managed this in a way that will not disproportionately impact on protected characteristic groups? | This EQIA aligns with the IJB Financial Allocations and Budgets 2025-26 paper, being presented to IJB members in March 2025. | As above |
| | Your evidence should show which of the 3 parts of the General Duty have been considered (tick relevant boxes). | Glasgow Care & Repair is jointly funded by Glasgow City Health and Social Care Partnership and Glasgow City Council as part of a two year funding agreement and | |
| | 1) Remove discrimination, harassment and | OFFICIAL | |
| | | OFFICIAL | |

| | | 01110 <i>1</i> 12 | |
|----|---|--|---|
| | victimisation | currently delivered by Southside Housing | |
| | 2) Promote equality of opportunity | Association. This funding is in place until 31st March 2025. | |
| | | o for Maron 2020. | |
| | 3) Foster good relations between protected characteristics. | There are 3 main areas of service, | |
| | Characteristics. | offering practical assistance, advice and information to older and/or disabled | |
| | 4) Not applicable | people who are home owners or private | |
| | | tenants living in Glasgow helping them to | |
| | | live more comfortably and safely in their | |
| | | own homes, for longer. | |
| | | It is estimated £32,500 per annum of the | |
| | | budget will be retained to sustain key safe | |
| | | installation to support Hospital Discharge. | |
| | | Given the stage of this programme of | |
| | | work, this EQIA can only provide a | |
| | | general overview. The eqia will be | |
| | | monitored and updated in line with the 6 | |
| | | monthly review process. Service Evidence Provided | Possible negative impact and Additional |
| | | Delvice Evidence i Tovided | Mitigating Action Required |
| 9. | What investment in learning has been made to prevent | All HSCP staff are encouraged to | |
| | discrimination, promote equality of opportunity and | complete the equality GOLD module. | |
| | foster good relations between protected characteristic groups? As a minimum include recorded completion | Equality is also included in induction training. | |
| | rates of statutory and mandatory learning programmes | uaiiiiig. | |
| | (or local equivalent) covering equality, diversity and | | |
| | human rights. | | |

10. In addition to understanding and responding to legal responsibilities set out in Equality Act (2010), services must pay due regard to ensure a person's human rights are protected in all aspects of health and social care provision. This may be more obvious in some areas than others. For instance, mental health inpatient care or older people's residential care may be considered higher risk in terms of potential human rights breach due to potential removal of liberty, seclusion or application of restraint. However risk may also involve fundamental gaps like not providing access to communication support, not involving patients/service

| users in decisions relating to their care, | making decisions that infringe the rights | of carers to participate in society of | or not respecting someone's | right to dignity or |
|--|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------|
| privacy. | | | | |

The Human Rights Act sets out rights in a series of articles – right to Life, right to freedom from torture and inhumane and degrading treatment, freedom from slavery and forced labour, right to liberty and security, right to a fair trial, no punishment without law, right to respect for private and family life, right to freedom of thought, belief and religion, right to freedom of expression, right to freedom of assembly and association, right to marry, right to protection from discrimination.

| Please explain in the field below if any risks in relation to the service design or policy were identified which could impact on the human rights of patients, service users or staff. |
|--|
| |
| Please explain in the field below any human rights based approaches undertaken to better understand rights and responsibilities resulting from the service or policy development and what measures have been taken as a result e.g. applying the PANEL Principles to maximise Participation, Accountability, Non-discrimination and Equality, Empowerment and Legality or FAIR*. |
| |

- Facts: What is the experience of the individuals involved and what are the important facts to understand?
- Analyse rights: Develop an analysis of the human rights at stake
- Identify responsibilities: Identify what needs to be done and who is responsible for doing it
- Review actions: Make recommendations for action and later recall and evaluate what has happened as a result.

| _ | completed the EQIA template, please tick which option you (Lead Reviewer) perceive best reflects the findings of the assessment. This can be cross-checked Quality Assurance process: |
|---|---|
| | Option 1: No major change (where no impact or potential for improvement is found, no action is required) |
| | Option 2: Adjust (where a potential or actual negative impact or potential for a more positive impact is found, make changes to mitigate risks or make improvements) |
| | Option 3: Continue (where a potential or actual negative impact or potential for a more positive impact is found but a decision not to make a change can be objectively justified, continue without making changes) |
| | Option 4: Stop and remove (where a serious risk of negative impact is found, the plans, policies etc. being assessed should be halted until these issues can be addressed) |

| on sexual orientation, fai | ith etc please use | ething that 'stands out' as an example of good the box below to describe the activity and the elopments in their own services. | - | • |
|--|--|--|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| Actions – from the additional m summarise the actions this ser | • | quirements boxes completed above, please forward. | Date for completion | Who is responsible?(initials) |
| Engagement with Glasgow City Co | ouncil and Southside | Housing Association. | Brian Lithgow | I |
| Develop a communication plan to e brought in house and how to contin | | ers are aware that the key safe service has been | | |
| Ongoing 6 Monthly Review p | lease write your 6 r | monthly EQIA review date: | | |
| Lead Reviewer: | Name Job Title | Liam Herbert | | |
| EQIA Sign Off: | Signature Date | Head of Planning & Strategy 27/02/25 | | |
| Quality Assurance Sign Off: | Name Job Title Signature Date | Alastair Low Planning Manager <i>A Low</i> 11/03/2025 | | |



NHS GREATER GLASGOW AND CLYDE EQUALITY IMPACT ASSESSMENT TOOL MEETING THE NEEDS OF DIVERSE COMMUNITIES 6 MONTHLY REVIEW SHEET

Name of Policy/Current Service/Service Development/Service Redesign:

| | | Completed |
|--|------|------------------|
| | Date | Initial |
| Action: | | |
| Status: | | |
| Action: | | |
| Status: | | |
| Action: | | |
| Status: | | |
| Action: | | |
| 7.00.011. | | |
| Status: | | |
| Status: Please detail any outstanding activity with regard to required | | ervice/Policy an |
| Status: Please detail any outstanding activity with regard to required reason for non-completion | | Completed by |
| Status: Please detail any outstanding activity with regard to required reason for non-completion | To b | Completed by |
| Status: Please detail any outstanding activity with regard to required reason for non-completion Action: | To b | Completed by |
| Status: Please detail any outstanding activity with regard to required reason for non-completion Action: Reason: Action: | To b | Completed by |

| | To be completed by |
|---|--------------------|
| | Date Initia |
| Action: | |
| Reason: | |
| Action: | |
| Reason: | |
| Please detail any discontinued actions that were originally planned a | and reasons: |
| Reason: | |
| Action: | |
| Reason: | |
| | |
| Please write your next 6-month review date | |
| | |
| Please write your next 6-month review date Name of completing officer: | |
| Please write your next 6-month review date | |